



LIFE - tilanne nyt

Pekka Harju-Autti

Kaksi IP hankkeita määrittävää dokumenttia

1. Life asetukset (hyväksytyt tammikuussa 2020)

2. MAWP dokumentti (tekeillä)



1. Life asetukset (hyväksytyt tammikuussa 2020).

Mikä muuttuu Lifessä 2021- ?



- Rahoitusvälineen rakenteelliset muutokset
- - Informaatio ja hallinto - hankkeet poistuvat. Tämä ei tarkoita etteikö näitä toimia jatkossakin tehtäisi, vaan nämä tullaan sisällyttämään jatkossa Life hankkeisiin.
- - Horizon Energia tehokkuus ohjelma tulee uutena kokonaisuutena mukaan.

...mikä muuttuu Lifessä 2021- ?



• Rahoitusvälineen sisällölliset muutokset

- - Projektibyrokratiaa vähennetään, tehdään monia
- pieniä muutoksia joilla yksinkertaistetaan hankkeiden seuranta
- - Ns. Kahden prosentin sääntö poistuu
- - Kaksivaiheinen hakemusprosessi tullaan tuomaan todennäköisesti myös ilmasto hankkeisiin
- - Hakemusprosessi saatetaan virtaviivaistaa Horizon prosessin kanssa
- - Myös mahdolliset pienet toimijat voivat tulla näppärästi mukaan hankkeisiin: pienten yritysten mahdollisuus osallistua helpottuu; talkootyö tulee mahdolliseksi - - Markkinoiden lähellä oleviin ratkaisuihin kiinnitetään enemmän huomiota

2. MAWP dokumentti (tekeillä)

Määrittää käytännön pelisäännöt hankehauille. Muovi-IP:ssä the sub-programmen “Circular Economy and Quality of Life” **tavoitteet**

This sub-programme aims at facilitating the transition toward a sustainable, circular, toxic-free, energy-efficient and climate-resilient economy and at protecting, restoring and improving the quality of the environment.

It will contribute to the relevant European Union priorities by

- **reducing resource consumption** and facilitating the transition toward a sustainable, circular, toxic-free, energy-efficient and climate-resilient economy;
- **bringing down waste generation** in line with the Waste Framework Directive and the reduction of hazardous waste in view of the EU’s commitment under the Basel Convention;
- **improving waste management** with respect to collection and storage of waste, recovery options and end-of-life disposal – including in outermost regions where waste management has to face specific challenges;
- **ensuring clean air** for EU citizens in line with the **zero pollution action plan**;
- **reducing the use of hazardous chemicals**;
- **diminishing exposure to harmful noise levels**;
- **achieving a good status of the Union’s water bodies**;
- **protecting EU soils** from pollution and **enhancing their capacity** to improve water quality and reduce emissions through carbon storage and reduced nitrate leakage.



2. MAWP dokumentti (tekeillä)

Sub-programme “Circular Economy and Quality of Life” **fokusalueet:**

Circular economy: accelerating the transition towards a circular, climate-neutral sustainable and toxic-free economy, where

- the value of products, materials and resources is maximised and maintained in the economy for as long as possible,
- the use of products is sustainable and the generation of waste and
- the presence of harmful substances is minimised.

This includes actions on circular design of products, circular production processes and sustainable use and consumption as well as actions to increase repair, reuse, and recycling of materials and products.

It includes also actions to support the development of circular economy business models¹⁰ and shorter and more diversified supply chain systems that minimise environmental impact and that reduce external dependency. These should be based on comprehensive measures targeting the whole life-cycle of products and materials.

It includes actions in line with the waste hierarchy to prevent waste, to increase product repair and reuse, and safe and high-quality recycling of materials and products on the basis of robust and widespread separate collection systems.

In light of their high resource use and their circularity potential, key product value chains¹¹ such as textiles, plastics, construction and buildings, electronics and ICT, batteries and vehicles, will receive specific attention with actions in all stages of the relevant life cycles and involving all relevant stakeholders.



2. MAWP dokumentti (tekeillä)

Hankkeiden arviointi: **pisteytysohjeet CONCEPT NOTE:**

The concept notes will be evaluated against the following award criteria:

- **Territorial coverage:** Implementation of the targeted Union plan or strategy will cover a large and representative territorial area, in particular, regional, multi-regional, national or trans-national. A multi-city approach or smaller territorial scale may also be acceptable for strategic integrated projects dealing with air quality management as long as the project delivers substantial impact.
- **Coordination of complementary funds:** The proposed activities will mobilise **complementary funding from at least one Union, national or private funding source** and take into consideration other relevant European Union, national or private funding. The funding is complementary to the SNAPs and the SIPs and used to support and implement complementary activities necessary for the implementation of the targeted plan or strategy. Mechanisms for the coordination of the complementary funding are clearly defined.
- **Involvement of key stakeholders:** key stakeholders will be actively involved in the implementation of the targeted plan or strategy.
- **Implementation of plans or strategies:** the proposed project aims at implementing the plans of strategies mentioned under points (2) and (3) of par 4.1.

Each criterion will be assessed as to whether it is fulfilled or not.



2. MAWP dokumentti (tekeillä)

Hankkeiden arviointi: **pisteytysohjeet varsinaiselle hakemukselle:**

1. Relevance

- Relevance of the contribution to one or several of the specific objectives of the LIFE programme and the targeted sub-programme.
- Extent to which the proposed project is in line with the topic description of the call for proposal.
- The soundness of the overall intervention logic.
- Extent to which the proposed project offers co-benefits and promotes synergies with other policy areas relevant for achieving environment and climate policy objectives.

2. Quality

- Clarity, relevance and feasibility of the proposed work plan.
- Appropriate geographic focus of the proposed activities
- Quality of the plan to monitor and report impacts.
- Identification and mobilisation of the relevant stakeholders.
- Appropriateness and quality of the proposed measures to communicate and disseminate the project and its results to different target groups.

3. Complementary funding

- The level of mobilisation of other funds complementary to the LIFE project foreseen (beyond the minimum necessary for eligibility) as well as the likelihood of their actual mobilisation and their functional link to the plan to be implemented
- Quality of the proposed coordination mechanism for the optimal use of other funds, in particular Union funds, necessary to implement targeted strategy / plan
- Identification, relevance and feasibility of the necessary complementary actions

4. Impact

- Ambition and credibility of impacts expected during and/or after the project due to the proposed activities, including by identifying and addressing potential negative impacts on the other specific objectives of the LIFE programme.
- Sustainability of the project's results after the end of the project.
- Quality of the proposed measures for the exploitation of project results.
- Potential for the project results to be replicated in the same or other sectors or places,



2. MAWP dokumentti (tekeillä)

Hankkeiden arviointi: **pisteytysohjeet varsinaiselle hakemukselle:**

:

or to be up-scaled by public or private actors or through mobilising larger investments or financial resources (catalytic potential).

5. Resources

- Composition of the consortium in terms of expertise, skills and responsibilities and appropriateness of the management structure.
- Appropriateness of the proposed budget and resources and their consistency with the proposed work plan.
- Transparency of the budget, i.e. the cost items should be sufficiently described.
- Extent to which the project environmental impact is considered and mitigated, including through the use of green procurement. The use of recognised methods for the calculation of the project environmental footprint (e.g. PEF or OEF methods or similar ones²¹) or environmental management systems (e.g. EMAS) would be an asset.
- The value-for-money of the proposed project.

4. Scoring of the proposals

Each criterion will be scored on a range of 0 to 20 points. The minimum pass score for each criterion is 10 points. The score of criterion 'Complementary funds' will be given a weight of 0.5. The overall pass threshold, applying to the sum of the weighted individual scores is 55

